

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/028,245	12/18/2001	Nigel Dunn-Coleman	GC700	2138
75	590 04/08/2004		EXAM	INER
VICTORIA L. BOYD			RAO, MANJUNATH N	
Genencor International, Inc. 925 Page Mill Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palo Alto, CA 94034-1013			1652	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/028,245	DUNN-COLEMAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See attached.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>23 and 24</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17, 19-20, 22, 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449)					
10. Other:		Maryunall				
		Manjunath N. Rao Ph Daniel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652				

Application/Control Number: 10/028,245

Art Unit: 1652

Advisory Action

Claims 2-17, 19-20, 22-24, 26 are now currently pending in this application.

Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 3-19-04 has been considered but <u>NOT ENTERED</u>, as it raises new issues and requires the Examiner to institute new rejections. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons;

In response to objection to specification for recitation of browser executable codes, applicant has deleted just the term "http". Applicant is required to delete the entire web address from the specification which is the actual browser executable code. Until such time the objection will be maintained.

Claim 2 does not refer to SEQ ID NO (as opposed to figure 2) as required by sequence rules.

Claim 3 lacks antecedence basis and will be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicants have cancelled "% homology language" in claim 2. However, applicant has failed to cancel claim 3 which refers to the same.

Claims 6 and 7 remain indefinite and will be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph.

Applicant has cancelled claim 1. However claim 6 continues to depend from a cancelled claim.

Furthermore, claim 8 and claims 9, 11, 22-24 continue to have enablement and written description problems and applicant's argument or amendment does not overcome the above rejection maintained by the Examiner. Applicant's amendment of claim 26 overcomes the obviousness rejection applied by the Examiner in the previous Office action.

However, in view of the non-entry of the above amendment filed on 3-19-04 all previous rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

Conclusion

None of the claims are in allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0939. The Examiner can normally be reached on 6.30 a.m. to 3.00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Manjunath N. Rao

April 5, 2004